

**PUBLIC COUNSEL**

Mark Rosenbaum (SBN 59940)  
mrosenbaum@publiccounsel.org  
Amanda Mangaser Savage (SBN 325996)  
asavage@publiccounsel.org  
Kathryn Eidmann (SBN 268053)  
keidmann@publiccounsel.org  
Amelia Piazza (SBN 342473)  
apiazza@publiccounsel.org  
610 South Ardmere Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90005  
Tel.: 213.385.2977

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**BALLARD SPAHR LLP**

Scott Humphreys (SBN 298021)  
humphreys@ballardspahr.com  
Elizabeth Schilken (SBN 241231)  
schilkene@ballardspahr.com  
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2915  
Tel.: 424.204.4400

Maxwell S. Mishkin  
*(pro hac vice)*  
mishkinm@ballardspahr.com  
1909 K Street NW, 12th Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel.: 202.508.1140

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**

MAE M., through her guardian ad litem Anthony M., SUSAN C., through her guardian ad litem Sabrina C., GWEN S., through their guardian ad litem Ramona S., CARSON L., through his guardian ad litem Nancy L., DAVID P., through his guardian ad litem RACHEL P., VIOLET B., through her guardian ad litem INEZ B., STELLA B., through her guardian ad litem INEZ B., TEMECULA VALLEY EDUCATORS ASSOCIATION, AMY EYTCHEISON, KATRINA MILES, JENNIFER SCHARF, and DAWN SIBBY,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

JOSEPH KOMROSKY, JENNIFER WIERSMA, DANNY GONZALEZ, ALLISON BARCLAY, and STEVEN SCHWARTZ, in their official capacities as members of TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES, TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 1- 20,

*Defendants.*

Case No. CVSW2306224

**STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT**

Before: Honorable Eric A. Keen

Electronically RECEIVED by Superior Court of California, County of Riverside on 03/02/2026 01:09 PM - Jason B. Galkin, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court By Joanne Bishop, Clerk

1 Plaintiff Mae M., through her guardian ad litem Anthony M., Plaintiff Susan C., through her  
2 guardian ad litem Sabrina C., Plaintiff Gwen S., through their guardian ad litem Ramona S., Plaintiff  
3 Carson L., through his guardian ad litem Nancy L., Plaintiff David P., through his guardian ad litem  
4 Plaintiff Rachel P., and Plaintiffs Violet B. and Stella B., through their guardian ad litem Plaintiff Inez  
5 B., Temecula Valley Educators Association, Amy Eytchison, Katrina Miles, Jennifer Scharf, and Dawn  
6 Sibby (collectively “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Joseph Komrosky, Jennifer Wiersma, Danny Gonzala,  
7 lez, Allison Barclay, and Steven Schwartz, in their Official Capacities as Members of Temecula Valley  
8 Unified School District Board of Trustees (“Board”), Temecula Valley Unified School District (col-  
9 lectively “Defendants”) by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

10 **RECITALS**

11 1. WHEREAS, on August 2, 2023, Plaintiffs filed their original Complaint in the Superior  
12 Court of California, County of Riverside.

13 2. WHEREAS, on October 13, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint (the  
14 operative pleading).

15 3. WHEREAS, on November 29, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunc-  
16 tion seeking to enjoin enforcement of (a) Board Resolution No. 2022-23/21 and (b) Board Policy  
17 5020.01.

18 4. WHEREAS, on or about February 16, 2024, after briefing and argument, the Honor-  
19 able Eric A. Keen of the Superior Court of Riverside County (“Superior Court”) denied Plaintiffs’  
20 motion for preliminary injunction in its entirety.

21 5. WHEREAS, on February 18, 2024, Plaintiffs timely appealed the denial of the prelim-  
22 inary injunction (Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, Case No. G064332).

23 6. WHEREAS, on December 17, 2024, the Board rescinded Board Policy 5020.01.

24 7. WHEREAS, on May 19, 2025, the Court of Appeal reversed the Superior Court’s  
25 order denying preliminary injunction as to Resolution No. 2022-23/21, holding the Resolution un-  
26 constitutionally vague on its face. The Court of Appeal dismissed as moot the appeal as to Board  
27 Policy 5020.01. The matter was remanded to the Superior Court.

28 8. WHEREAS, on June 24, 2025, the Board rescinded Resolution No. 2022-23/21.

1           9.       WHEREAS, on December 5, 2025, the Superior Court issued a preliminary injunction  
2 as to Resolution No. 2022-23/21.

3           9.       WHEREAS, the Parties have engaged in extensive good-faith settlement negotiations  
4 and have agreed to resolve all remaining claims.

5           10.      WHEREAS, the Parties wish to fully and finally resolve this action without further  
6 litigation.

7           11.      WHEREAS, the Parties agree that entry of this Stipulated Final Judgment is in their  
8 mutual best interests and will conserve judicial and party resources.

9           12.      WHEREAS, the issue of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs re-  
10 mains unresolved and is expressly reserved.

11           **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and be-  
12 tween the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, that Final Judgment be entered as fol-  
13 lows:

14           **1. Dismissal With Prejudice**

15           Plaintiffs shall file a request for dismissal with prejudice of this lawsuit, *Mae M. et. al. v. Komrosky*  
16 *et. al.*, Case No. CVSW2306224, within ten (10) court days after the Court enters this Joint Stipulation  
17 for Entry of Final Judgment. Plaintiffs' claims in this lawsuit pertain to only Resolution No. 2022-  
18 23/21, enacted December 13, 2022, and Board Policy 5020.01, enacted August 22, 2023. Plaintiffs  
19 expressly reserve the right to bring future claims against Defendants as set forth in Paragraph 6 below.

20           **2. Declaration of Prevailing Party**

21           The Court declares Plaintiffs are the prevailing party as to their claim related to Resolution  
22 No. 2022-23/21. Plaintiffs reserve all rights to seek a ruling on prevailing party as to the rest of their  
23 claims in a motion for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

24           **3. Acknowledgment of Court of Appeal Ruling**

25           Defendants acknowledge that the California Court of Appeal has determined Plaintiffs are  
26 likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that Resolution No. 2022-23/21 is unconstitutionally  
27 vague and the Superior Court has issued a preliminary injunction. Defendants agree to comply with  
28 and follow the Court of Appeal's ruling and the Superior Court's order in all respects.

1       **4. Attorneys' Fees**

2           Defendants agree that Plaintiffs may file a motion for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees  
3 and costs. Plaintiffs reserve all rights to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Defendants reserve  
4 all rights to oppose the amount sought.

5       **5. No Admission of Liability**

6           This Stipulated Final Judgment is a compromise of disputed claims. It shall not be construed  
7 as an admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendants.

8       **6. Plaintiffs' Right to Bring Future Claims**

9           Except for the claims to be dismissed with prejudice as outlined in Paragraph 1 above, nothing  
10 in this stipulation shall preclude or be construed to prevent Plaintiffs from bringing any future claims  
11 against Defendants.

12       7. This Stipulated Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with re-  
13 spect to the resolution of the merits of this action.

14       8. This Stipulated Final Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be  
15 deemed an original. Electronic signatures shall have the same force and effect as original sig-  
16 natures.

17       **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

18 DATED: March 2, 2026

19 PUBLIC COUNSEL

20 **By:**    /s/ Amanda Mangaser Savage  
21           Amanda Mangaser Savage  
22           Attorney for Plaintiffs

23 DATED: March 2, 2026

24 ADVOCATES FOR FAITH & FREEDOM

25 **By:**    /s/ Julianne Fleischer  
26           Julianne Fleischer  
27           Attorney for Defendants Temecula Valley Unified  
28           School District, Temecula Valley Unified School Dis-  
            trict Board, Joseph Komrosky, Jennifer Wiersma, and  
            Danny Gonzalez

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: March 2, 2026


LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

**By:** /s/ Michelle Soto  
Michelle Soto

*Attorney for Defendants  
Allison Barclay and Steven Schwartz*

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: 04/01/2026

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Eric A. Keen  
Superior Court Judge